Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A plane symmetric universe filled with disordered radiation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 49 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/10/1/013)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 13:43

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# A plane symmetric universe filled with disordered radiation

S R Roy and P N Singh

Department of Mathematics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India

Received 26 June 1975, in final form 10 May 1976

**Abstract.** A plane symmetric model of the universe has been derived which will include all plane symmetric space-times filled with disordered radiation. Some properties of the model have been studied in some detail.

### 1. Introduction

In our previous paper (Roy and Singh 1976), we derived a cosmological model which evolved from an earlier stage when  $t = t_1$ . In this model, radiation was in collisional equilibrium where the equation of state assumes the form  $\rho = 3p$ . It is therefore worthwhile to obtain models using this equation of state. At  $t = t_2$ , it changes over to another model in which matter becomes tenuous so that p is zero. A model has been given by Heckmann and Schucking (1962) for which p is zero. In this paper, a plane symmetric model has been derived which will include all plane symmetric space-times filled with disordered radiation. Some properties of the model have been studied in some detail.

#### 2. Derivation of the line element

The cylindrically symmetric metric is considered in the form given by Marder (1958)

$$ds^{2} = A^{2}(dt^{2} - dx^{2}) - B^{2} dy^{2} - C^{2} dz^{2}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where A, B, C are functions of t only.

The energy-momentum tensor for a distribution of disordered radiation can be regarded as a perfect fluid

$$T_{ij} = (\rho + p)\lambda_i\lambda_j - pg_{ij}, \tag{2.2}$$

together with the equation of state

$$\rho = 3p. \tag{2.3}$$

The coordinates are assumed to be comoving so that  $\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 = \lambda^3 = 0$ . The field equations

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} = -8\pi T_{ij}$$
 (with  $C = G = 1$ )

49

for the line element (2.1) are as follows:

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \left[ \left( \frac{B_{44}}{B} + \frac{C_{44}}{C} - \frac{A_4}{A} \left( \frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right) + \frac{B_4 C_4}{BC} = -8 \pi p, \right]$$
(2.4)

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \left[ \left( \frac{A_4}{A} \right)_4 + \frac{C_{44}}{C} \right] = -8\pi p, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \left[ \left( \frac{A_4}{A} \right)_4 + \frac{B_{44}}{B} \right] = -8\pi p, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \left[ \frac{A_4}{A} \left( \frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right) + \frac{B_4 C_4}{BC} \right] = 24 \pi p.$$
(2.7)

From equations (2.4)–(2.7), we have

$$\left(\frac{A_4}{A}\right)_4 + \frac{B_{44}}{B} + \frac{C_{44}}{C} + \frac{B_4C_4}{BC} = 0;$$
(2.8)

from equations (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\frac{B_{44}}{B} = \frac{C_{44}}{C}$$
(2.9)

and from equations (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\left(\frac{A_4}{A}\right)_4 + \frac{A_4}{A}\left(\frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C}\right) = \frac{B_{44}}{B} + \frac{B_4C_4}{BC}.$$
(2.10)

Let  $BC = \nu$  and  $B/C = \mu$ ; then from equation (2.9) we have

$$\frac{\mu_4}{\mu} = \frac{K}{\nu},\tag{2.11}$$

where K is a constant of integration.

From equation (2.10), we have

$$BC\left(\frac{A_4}{A}\right)_4 + \frac{A_4}{A}(BC)_4 = CB_{44} + B_4C_4,$$

which gives

$$BC\frac{A_4}{A} = B_4C + L,$$

where L is a constant of integration.

Thus,

$$\frac{A_4}{A} = \frac{M}{\nu} + \frac{\nu_4}{2\nu},$$
(2.12)

where  $M = L + \frac{1}{2}K$ .

From equations (2.8), (2.10) and (2.12), we have

$$M\frac{\nu_4}{\nu^2} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\nu_4^2}{\nu^2} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\nu_{44}}{\nu} - \frac{K^2}{4\nu^2} = 0.$$
 (2.13)

Let  $\nu_4 = \tau(\nu)$ , then  $\nu_{44} = \tau' \tau$  where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to  $\nu$ . From equation (2.13), we get

$$\int \frac{2\tau \, \mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau^2 + \frac{4}{3}M\tau - \frac{1}{3}K^2} = \ln\left[(\tau^2 + \frac{4}{3}M\tau - \frac{1}{3}K^2)\left(\frac{3\tau + 2M - (4M^2 + 3K^2)^{1/2}}{3\tau + 2M + (4M^2 + 3K^2)^{1/2}}\right)\right] = \ln q\nu,$$

where q is a constant.

Therefore,

$$\nu = \frac{1}{q} \left(\tau^2 + \frac{4}{3}M\tau - \frac{1}{3}K^2\right) \left(\frac{3\tau + 2M + (4M^2 + 3K^2)^{1/2}}{3\tau + 2M - (4M^2 + 3K^2)^{1/2}}\right)^{2M(4M^2 + 3K^2)^{-1/2}}$$
(2.14)

Let

$$\tau^{2} + \frac{4}{3}M\tau - \frac{1}{3}K^{2} = (\tau + \alpha)(\tau - \beta),$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}M + \frac{1}{3}(4M^2 + 3K^2)^{1/2}$  and  $\beta = -\frac{2}{3}M + \frac{1}{3}(4M^2 + 3K^2)^{1/2}$ . Hence

$$\nu = \frac{1}{q} (\tau + \alpha)^{2\alpha/(\alpha + \beta)} (\tau - \beta)^{2\beta/(\alpha + \beta)}.$$
(2.15)

Since  $\nu_4 = \tau(\nu)$ :

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\tau(\nu)} = t + t' \tag{2.16}$$

where t' is a constant of integration.

From equation (2.15)

$$\mathrm{d}\nu = \frac{2\nu}{\alpha + \beta} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\tau + \alpha} + \frac{\beta}{\tau - \beta} \right) \mathrm{d}\tau$$

or

$$t + t' = \frac{2}{q} \int \left(\frac{\tau + \alpha}{\tau - \beta}\right)^{(\alpha - \beta)/(\alpha + \beta)} d\tau.$$
(2.17)

From equation (2.11):

$$\nu \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \nu_4 = K\mu,$$

.

or

$$\nu \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} = K\mu,$$

which may be written

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\frac{q}{2}\left(\frac{\tau-\beta}{\tau+\alpha}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)/(\alpha+\beta)} = \frac{K}{\nu},$$

or

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}(\ln\mu) = \frac{2K}{q\nu} \left(\frac{\tau+\alpha}{\tau-\beta}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta)/(\alpha+\beta)} = 2K(\tau+\alpha)^{-1}(\tau+\beta)^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu = \left(\frac{\tau - \beta}{\tau + \alpha}\right)^{2K/(\alpha + \beta)} \tag{2.18}$$

From equations (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\frac{A_4}{A} = \frac{M}{K} \frac{\mu_4}{\mu} + \frac{\nu_4}{2\nu}.$$

Therefore

$$A = \gamma \mu^{M/K} \nu^{1/2}, \tag{2.19}$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constant.

The metric (2.1) then becomes:

$$ds^{2} = (4\gamma^{2}/q_{3})(\tau+\alpha)^{[4(\alpha-M)-2\beta]/(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{[4(\beta+M)-2\alpha]/(\alpha+\beta)}d\tau^{2}$$
$$-(\tau+\alpha)^{(2\alpha-4M)/(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{(2\beta+4M)/(\alpha+\beta)}dX^{2}$$
$$-(\tau+\alpha)^{2(\alpha-K)/(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{2(\beta+K)/(\alpha+\beta)}dY^{2}$$
$$-(\tau+\alpha)^{2(\alpha+K)/(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{2(\beta-K)/(\alpha+\beta)}dZ^{2}$$
(2.20)

where  $\tau$  is a new time coordinate.

By introducing a new coordinate and constants:

$$t = \frac{\tau + \alpha}{\tau - \beta}, \qquad \alpha' = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}, \qquad \beta' = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}$$

$$M' = \frac{M}{\alpha + \beta}, \qquad K' = \frac{K}{\alpha + \beta}, \qquad L = \frac{4\gamma^2}{q_3} (\alpha + \beta)^4,$$

$$(\alpha + \beta)^{(\alpha' + \beta')} X = x, \qquad (\alpha + \beta)^{(\alpha' + \beta')} Y = y, \qquad (\alpha + \beta)^{(\alpha' + \beta')} Z = z,$$
(2.21)

the above metric (2.20) reduces to the form

$$ds^{2} = L(t)^{4(\alpha'-M')-2\beta'}(t-1)^{-6} dt^{2} - (t)^{2(\alpha'-2M')}(t-1)^{-2} dx^{2} - (t)^{2(\alpha'-K')}(t-1)^{-2} dy^{2} - (t)^{2(\alpha'+K')}(t-1)^{-2} dz^{2}.$$
(2.22)

# 2.1. A particular case

Let M = 0. Then equation (2.13) becomes

$$3(\nu^{1/2})_{44} + \frac{1}{4}K^2\nu^{-3/2} = 0.$$
(2.23)

Solution of the above differential equation is given by

$$\nu = \frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{12} K^2 - \frac{1}{9} N^2 (t + t_0)^2 \right], \tag{2.24}$$

where K, N and  $t_0$  are constants of integration.

Density of the distribution is given by

$$8\pi\rho = (4\alpha^2\gamma^3)^{-1} [\frac{4}{3}N^2(t+t_0)^2 - K^2], \qquad (2.25)$$

which is negative and hence the above solution is not physically plausible.

## 3. Some physical and geometrical features of equation (2.22)

The density in the model is given by

$$8\pi\rho = L^{-1}(t)^{2\beta'-4(\alpha'-M')}[(3\alpha'^2 - 4M'\alpha' - K'^2)t^{-2} + 3\beta'^2 + 4M'\beta' - K'^2].$$
(3.1)

The reality condition  $\rho > 0$  leads to

$$\frac{1}{t^2} > \frac{{K'}^2 - 4M'\beta' - 3{\beta'}^2}{3{\alpha'}^2 - 4M'\alpha' - {K'}^2}.$$
(3.2)

The flow vector  $\lambda'$  of the distribution is given by

$$\lambda^{1} = \lambda^{2} = \lambda^{3} = \lambda_{1} = \lambda_{2} = \lambda_{3} = 0.$$

$$\lambda^{4} = L^{-1/2} (t-1)(t)^{\beta'-2(\alpha'-M')}$$

$$\lambda_{4} = L^{1/2} (t-1)^{-1} (t)^{2(\alpha'-M')-\beta'}.$$
(3.3)

The flow vector  $\lambda^i$  satisfies the equation of the geodesics  $\lambda^i_{ji}\lambda^j = 0$ . The semicolon represents covariant differentiation. The scalar of expansion is given by

$$\Theta = L^{-1/2} \{ [\beta' - 2(\alpha' - M')](t)^{\beta' - 2(\alpha' - M') - 1}(t - 1)^2 + [\alpha' - 2(\beta' + M')](t)^{\beta' - 2(\alpha' + M')}(t - 1)^2 \}.$$
(3.4)

The tensor of rotation  $W_{\eta}$  is zero. Thus the fluid filling the universe is irrotational.

The components of the shear tensor  $\sigma_{ij}$  are:

$$\sigma_{11} = L^{-1/2} \{ [\beta' - 2(\alpha' - M')](t)^{\beta' - 2M' - 1} + [\alpha' - 2(\beta' + M')](t)^{\beta' - 2M'} ]$$

$$\sigma_{22} = L^{-1/2} \{ [\beta' - 2(\alpha' - M')](t)^{\beta' + 2(M' - K') - 1} + [\alpha' - 2(\beta' + M')](t)^{\beta' + 2(M' - K')} \}$$

$$\sigma_{33} = L^{-1/2} \{ [\beta' - 2(\alpha' - M')](t)^{\beta' + 2(M' + K') - 1} + [\alpha' - 2(\beta' + M')](t)^{\beta' + 2(M' + K')} \}.$$

$$\sigma_{44} = 0.$$
(3.5)

The red-shift in the model is given by

$$\frac{\lambda + \delta\lambda}{\lambda} = L^{1/2} \frac{(t)^{2(\alpha' - M') - \beta'} (t - 1)^{-3} [(t_1)^{2(\alpha' - K')} (t_1 - 1)^2 + U_z]}{(t)^{2(\alpha' - K')} (t - 1)^2 [L(t)^{4(\alpha' - M') - 2\beta'} (t - 1)^{-6} - U^2]},$$
(3.6)

where U is the velocity of the source at the time of emission and  $U_z$  is the z component of the velocity.

The metric (2.22) is not conformal to flat space-time. It is not a particular case of the Lemaitre universe.

## References

Heckmann O and Schucking E 1962 Gravitation. An Introduction to Current Research ed. L Witten (New York: Wiley)

Marder L 1958 Proc. R. Soc. 246 133

Roy S R and Singh P N 1976 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 255-9