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#### Abstract

A plane symmetric model of the universe has been derived which will include all plane symmetric space-times filled with disordered radiation. Some properties of the model have been studied in some detail.


## 1. Introduction

In our previous paper (Roy and Singh 1976), we derived a cosmological model which evolved from an earlier stage when $t=t_{1}$. In this model, radiation was in collisional equilibrium where the equation of state assumes the form $\rho=3 p$. It is therefore worthwhile to obtain models using this equation of state. At $t=t_{2}$, it changes over to another model in which matter becomes tenuous so that $p$ is zero. A model has been given by Heckmann and Schucking (1962) for which $p$ is zero. In this paper, a plane symmetric model has been derived which will include all plane symmetric space-times filled with disordered radiation. Some properties of the model have been studied in some detail.

## 2. Derivation of the line element

The cylindrically symmetric metric is considered in the form given by Marder (1958)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=A^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} t^{2}-\mathrm{d} x^{2}\right)-B^{2} \mathrm{~d} y^{2}-C^{2} \mathrm{~d} z^{2}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B, C$ are functions of $t$ only.
The energy-momentum tensor for a distribution of disordered radiation can be regarded as a perfect fluid

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=(\rho+p) \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}-p g_{t!}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the equation of state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=3 p \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinates are assumed to be comoving so that $\lambda^{1}=\lambda^{2}=\lambda^{3}=0$. The field equations

$$
R_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} R g_{i j}=-8 \pi T_{u j} \quad \text { (with } C=G=1 \text { ) }
$$

for the line element (2.1) are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{A^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{B_{44}}{B}+\frac{C_{44}}{C}-\frac{A_{4}}{A}\left(\frac{B_{4}}{B}+\frac{C_{4}}{C}\right)+\frac{B_{4} C_{4}}{B C}=-8 \pi p\right.\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{1}{A^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{A_{4}}{A}\right)_{4}+\frac{C_{44}}{C}\right]=-8 \pi p  \tag{2.5}\\
& \frac{1}{A^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{A_{4}}{A}\right)_{4}+\frac{B_{44}}{B}\right]=-8 \pi p  \tag{2.6}\\
& \frac{1}{A^{2}}\left[\frac{A_{4}}{A}\left(\frac{B_{4}}{B}+\frac{C_{4}}{C}\right)+\frac{B_{4} C_{4}}{B C}\right]=24 \pi p \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (2.4)-(2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{A_{4}}{A}\right)_{4}+\frac{B_{44}}{B}+\frac{C_{44}}{C}+\frac{B_{4} C_{4}}{B C}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from equations (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{44}}{B}=\frac{C_{44}}{C} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from equations (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{A_{4}}{A}\right)_{4}+\frac{A_{4}}{A}\left(\frac{B_{4}}{B}+\frac{C_{4}}{C}\right)=\frac{B_{44}}{B}+\frac{B_{4} C_{4}}{B C} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B C=\nu$ and $B / C=\mu$; then from equation (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu}=\frac{K}{\nu} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a constant of integration.
From equation (2.10), we have

$$
B C\left(\frac{A_{4}}{A}\right)_{4}+\frac{A_{4}}{A}(B C)_{4}=C B_{44}+B_{4} C_{4}
$$

which gives

$$
B C \frac{A_{4}}{A}=B_{4} C+L
$$

where $L$ is a constant of integration.
Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{4}}{A}=\frac{M}{\nu}+\frac{\nu_{4}}{2 \nu} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=L+\frac{1}{2} K$.
From equations (2.8), (2.10) and (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \frac{\nu_{4}}{\nu^{2}}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{\nu_{4}^{2}}{\nu^{2}}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\nu_{44}}{\nu}-\frac{K^{2}}{4 \nu^{2}}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu_{4}=\tau(\nu)$, then $\nu_{44}=\tau^{\prime} \tau$ where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to $\nu$. From equation (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{2 \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau}{\tau^{2}+\frac{4}{3} M \tau}- & \\
& =\ln K^{2} \\
& \left.=\left(\tau^{2}+\frac{4}{3} M \tau-\frac{1}{3} K^{2}\right)\left(\frac{3 \tau+2 M-\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{3 \tau+2 M+\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\right] \\
= & \ln q \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q$ is a constant.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{1}{q}\left(\tau^{2}+\frac{4}{3} M \tau-\frac{1}{3} K^{2}\right)\left(\frac{3 \tau+2 M+\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{3 \tau+2 M-\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2 M\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)-1 / 2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\tau^{2}+\frac{4}{3} M \tau-\frac{1}{3} K^{2}=(\tau+\alpha)(\tau-\beta)
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{2}{3} M+\frac{1}{3}\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\beta=-\frac{2}{3} M+\frac{1}{3}\left(4 M^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{1}{q}(\tau+\alpha)^{2 \alpha /(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{2 \beta /(\alpha+\beta)} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nu_{4}=\tau(\nu)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} \nu}{\tau(\nu)}=t+t^{\prime} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t^{\prime}$ is a constant of integration.
From equation (2.15)

$$
\mathrm{d} \nu=\frac{2 \nu}{\alpha+\beta}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau+\alpha}+\frac{\beta}{\tau-\beta}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
t+t^{\prime}=\frac{2}{q} \int\left(\frac{\tau+\alpha}{\tau-\beta}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta) /(\alpha+\beta)} \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (2.11):

$$
\nu \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu}{\mathrm{~d} \nu} \nu_{4}=K \mu
$$

or

$$
\nu \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tau}{\mathrm{~d} t}=K \mu
$$

which may be written

$$
\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} \frac{q}{2}\left(\frac{\tau-\beta}{\tau+\alpha}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta) /(\alpha+\beta)}=\frac{K}{\nu}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}(\ln \mu)=\frac{2 K}{q \nu}\left(\frac{\tau+\alpha}{\tau-\beta}\right)^{(\alpha-\beta) /(\alpha+\beta)}=2 K(\tau+\alpha)^{-1}(\tau+\beta)^{-1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\left(\frac{\tau-\beta}{\tau+\alpha}\right)^{2 K /(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$
\frac{A_{4}}{A}=\frac{M}{K} \frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu}+\frac{\nu_{4}}{2 \nu}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\gamma \mu^{M / K} \nu^{1 / 2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a constant.
The metric (2.1) then becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} s^{2}=\left(4 \gamma^{2} / q_{3}\right)(\tau+\alpha)^{[4(\alpha-M)-2 \beta] /(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{[4(\beta+M)-2 \alpha] /(\alpha+\beta)} \mathrm{d} \tau^{2} \\
&-(\tau+\alpha)^{(2 \alpha-4 M) /(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{(2 \beta+4 M) /(\alpha+\beta)} \mathrm{d} X^{2} \\
&-(\tau+\alpha)^{2(\alpha-K) /(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{2(\beta+K) /(\alpha+\beta)} \mathrm{d} Y^{2} \\
&-(\tau+\alpha)^{2(\alpha+K) /(\alpha+\beta)}(\tau-\beta)^{2(\beta-K) /(\alpha+\beta)} \mathrm{d} Z^{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau$ is a new time coordinate.
By introducing a new coordinate and constants:

$$
\begin{array}{ccl}
t=\frac{\tau+\alpha}{\tau-\beta}, & \alpha^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}, & \beta^{\prime}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \\
M^{\prime}=\frac{M}{\alpha+\beta}, & K^{\prime}=\frac{K}{\alpha+\beta}, & L=\frac{4 \gamma^{2}}{q_{3}}(\alpha+\beta)^{4},  \tag{2.21}\\
(\alpha+\beta)^{\left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)} X=x, \quad(\alpha+\beta)^{\left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)} Y=y, & (\alpha+\beta)^{\left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)} Z=z,
\end{array}
$$

the above metric (2.20) reduces to the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=L(t)^{4\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)-2 \beta^{\prime}}(t-1)^{-6} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}-(t)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-2 M^{\prime}\right)}(t-1)^{-2} \mathrm{~d} x^{2}-(t)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)}(t-1)^{-2} \mathrm{~d} y^{2} \\
-(t)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}+K^{\prime}\right)}(t-1)^{-2} \mathrm{~d} z^{2} . \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.1. A particular case

Let $M=0$. Then equation (2.13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(\nu^{1 / 2}\right)_{44}+\frac{1}{4} K^{2} \nu^{-3 / 2}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution of the above differential equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{3}{4}\left[\frac{1}{12} K^{2}-\frac{1}{9} N^{2}\left(t+t_{0}\right)^{2}\right], \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K, N$ and $t_{0}$ are constants of integration.
Density of the distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \pi \rho=\left(4 \alpha^{2} \gamma^{3}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{4}{3} N^{2}\left(t+t_{0}\right)^{2}-K^{2}\right] \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is negative and hence the above solution is not physically plausible.

## 3. Some physical and geometrical features of equation (2.22)

The density in the model is given by
$8 \pi \rho=L^{-1}(t)^{2 \beta^{\prime}-4\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)}\left[\left(3 \alpha^{\prime 2}-4 M^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}-K^{\prime 2}\right) t^{-2}+3 \beta^{\prime 2}+4 M^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}-K^{\prime 2}\right]$.
The reality condition $\rho>0$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t^{2}}>\frac{K^{\prime 2}-4 M^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}-3 \beta^{\prime 2}}{3 \alpha^{\prime 2}-4 M^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}-K^{\prime 2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flow vector $\lambda^{\prime}$ of the distribution is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{1}=\lambda^{2}=\lambda^{3}=\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=0 . \\
& \lambda^{4}=L^{-1 / 2}(t-1)(t)^{\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \lambda_{4}=L^{1 / 2}(t-1)^{-1}(t)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)-\beta^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

The flow vector $\lambda^{i}$ satisfies the equation of the geodesics $\lambda_{i, ~}^{i} \lambda^{j}=0$. The semicolon represents covariant differentiation. The scalar of expansion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=L^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left[\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)-1}(t-1)^{2}+\left[\alpha^{\prime}-2\left(\beta^{\prime}+M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}+M^{\prime}\right)}(t-1)^{2}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor of rotation $W_{y j}$ is zero. Thus the fluid filling the universe is irrotational.
The components of the shear tensor $\sigma_{i j}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{11}=L^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left[\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}-2 M^{\prime}-1}+\left[\alpha^{\prime}-2\left(\beta^{\prime}+M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}-2 M^{\prime}}\right] \\
& \sigma_{22}=L^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left[\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}+2\left(M^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)-1}+\left[\alpha^{\prime}-2\left(\beta^{\prime}+M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}+2\left(M^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)}\right\} \\
& \sigma_{33}=L^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left[\beta^{\prime}-2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}+2\left(M^{\prime}+K^{\prime}\right)-1}+\left[\alpha^{\prime}-2\left(\beta^{\prime}+M^{\prime}\right)\right](t)^{\beta^{\prime}+2\left(M^{\prime}+K^{\prime}\right)}\right\} . \\
& \sigma_{44}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The red-shift in the model is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda+\delta \lambda}{\lambda}=L^{1 / 2} \frac{(t)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)-\beta^{\prime}}(t-1)^{-3}\left[\left(t_{1}\right)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)}\left(t_{1}-1\right)^{2}+U_{z}\right]}{(t)^{2\left(\alpha^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)}(t-1)^{2}\left[L(t)^{4\left(\alpha^{\prime}-M^{\prime}\right)-2 \beta^{\prime}}(t-1)^{-6}-U^{2}\right]^{\prime}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the velocity of the source at the time of emission and $U_{z}$ is the $z$ component of the velocity.

The metric (2.22) is not conformal to flat space-time. It is not a particular case of the Lemaitre universe.
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